BH Astrophys Ch1~2.2

http://www.astro.princeton.edu/~burrows/classes/250/distant_galaxies.html http://abyss.uoregon.edu/~js/ast123/lectures/lec12.html

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

- 1. Overview of the unification
- 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)
- 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)
 - * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra
 - * Beaming and superluminal motion
- 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)
- 5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.
1. Overview of the unification
2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)
3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)
* Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra
* Beaming and superluminal motion
4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)
5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

The incredible energy output First quasars discovered had luminosity ~ 10746 erg/s

(for comparison, $L\downarrow \odot \sim 4 \times 10733$ erg/s)

In order not to be "blown-off" the surface, one requires sufficient gravity.

The simplest estimate is using the Eddington limit.

$$L_{\rm Edd} \equiv \frac{4\pi GMc}{\kappa_{\rm es}} = 1.25 \times 10^{38} \,\rm erg \, s^{-1} \ m$$

Eddington luminosity



Thus, 10746 erg/s would require at least 1078 MJO!

How small are they?

1. Light crossing time estimate

An object's size can be estimated if its luminosity happens to vary. Nothing can travel faster than the speed of light. Therefore, if the brightness of an object varies by, say, 10%, in a time Δt , then the region from which that 10% of the light comes can be no larger than

$$r_{max} = c\,\Delta t \tag{1.3}$$

For example, if $\Delta t \approx 1$ month, then $r_{max} \approx 1$ light-month (or 10^{17} cm), so at least 10% of the actual object is probably considerably smaller than a light-month in size.

2. Assuming a black body

$$L = 4\pi R^2 \,\sigma T_e^4 \qquad r_{bb} = \left(\frac{L}{4\pi\sigma \,T_e^4}\right)^{1/2}$$

For L~10745 erg/s, T~1077 K, we get r ~10710 *cm* If we try to pack 10712 Suns into such volume, the distance between them would be ~1076 *cm*... that's 10km! Way smaller than the Sun!

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2. 1. Overview of the unification 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1) 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2) * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra * Beaming and superluminal motion 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5) 5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

How heavy are they?

1. Weighing stuff in binaries

*we can only measure the projected orbital velocity.

2. Monsters lurking in galactic centers

SMBHs measured in other galaxies have masses ranging from $\sim 1075 M \downarrow \odot - 10710 M \downarrow \odot$





Our milky way center $\sim 4 \times 10^{16} M_{\odot}$

Ch1.1. Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)2. How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2. 1. Overview of the unification 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1) 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2) * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra * Beaming and superluminal motion 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5) 5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Overview of the unification



Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

1. Overview of the unification

- 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)
- 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)
 - * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra
- * Beaming and superluminal motion
- 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)
- 5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

First half of 20th century

1917 NGC 1068 line spectra hinted gas motion up to 3600km/s



1918 NGC 4151 hinted gas motion of up to 7500 km/s! (0.025c)

Fig. 2.1: Series of exposures of Seyfert 1 galaxy NGC 4151. Short exposure (left) shows the central unresolved Seyfert nucleus; intermediate exposure shows the ionized material surrounding the nucleus; deep exposure (right) shows the host galaxy [18]. Reproduced by permission of the AAS.

M87 optical jet

1943

Seyfert's found that these galaxies with broad emission lines are a distinct class of objects.



1971 The two Seyfert classes



As of late 1970s

*Small central source < 10715 cm

*Emitting $10743 \sim 10745$ erg/s \rightarrow ionizes gas \rightarrow emission line *Gas must be moving rapidly

*Around the central source
Broad Line region (BLR) < 0.01pc in Sey I
Narrow Line region (NLR) ~100-10(
*Intermediates : less prominent or missing BLRs

Syll \rightarrow Syl obscured BLR? Increasing presence of BLR?



Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2. 1. Overview of the unification 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1) 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2) * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra * Beaming and superluminal motion 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5) 5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Radio galaxies

1950s Started observation of radio sources, some initially thought to be mergers (Cyg A)

1960s 3CR catalog : 328 sources (>9Jansky) @ 178MHz

mid 1970s Double lobes had a galaxy halfway in between can't confirm jets must be from galaxy

1978 VLBI trace different scales of NGC 6251 jet

1980s VLA produces the CygA image



CygA

Idea of VLBI

Larger area, better resolution.



Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

Overview of the unification
 Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)
 Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)

 * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra
 * Beaming and superluminal motion

 Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)

5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Basics of synchrotron emission



Synchrotron spectra

Single frequency wave

Slightly squashed periodic wave



Synchrotron spectra for PL electrons

Particle energy distribution N(E)dE=KE $\hat{I}-\delta$ dE *Synchrotron radiation spectra P(ω)*dω=*K*'*ω*î-(δ-1)/2 dω



Putting the spectra together



Typical B field strength in Jets = ?

Fig. 2.6: Typical radio synchrotron spectrum, with $\nu_{\rm max} \approx 10^8 \, {\rm Hz}$ and $\nu_b \approx 10^{12} \, {\rm Hz}$.

1974 The FRI/FRII classes

Using 3CR sources <u>with known distance & luminosity</u>, two classes are found: Fanaroff-Riley Class I, Class II



http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Glossary/Essay_fanaroff.html

1974 The FRI/FRII classes

Just some more examples:





FR II

Modern interpretation: FRI sources are less powerful (and slower) thus fail to blast through their galaxies' ISM. Is that correct?

http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Glossary/Essay_fanaroff.html

Hybrid jets

*1990s

1004+130

0

30

088 15 P

SECONDS

- 30

Found that FRI-FRII break depends on galaxy mass. (more gas is more difficult to breakthrough)



*A&A, 363, 507 (2000) Hybrid objects found

4885 MHZ

FR I like

ē.

SECONDS OF ARC

 $^{\circ}$

IPOL



31 45 30 RIGHT ASCENSION (B1950)

Solidifies the view that environment effects class boundary.

15

01 32 00

73.00. 130.0. 300.01

60

RGs with bright optical nuclei

1960s

Some 3C radio galaxies have compact optical nuclei

1970s

classification by line width

- BLRGs (broad permitted lines, narrow forbidden line)

- NLRGs (both permitted and forbidden lines narrow)

*All have FRII morphology and tend to occur in ellipticals only (much unlike seyferts)

*Seyferts rarely appear in radio surveys (and their hosts usually are spirals)



Discovery of the first quasars

1962

Radio sources with no optical galaxy in their vicinity, some look like point sources (stars) in optical, thus named quasars (quasistellar radio sources)

1963

Hydrogen lines were found to have redshifted by 0.16c (600Mpc) *Most distant known galaxies at that time were ~600Mpc

Some other re-examined objects: - 3C 48 z~0.367

- 3C 9 z~2.012

*Implied very high luminosities ~ $10744 \sim 10746$ erg/s

*Variability implied size << 1light year

QSR radio and optical properties

Radio : extended or compact (like point sources)

- Extended source have steep spectrum (termed SSRQ)
- Compact sources have flat spectrum (termed FSRQ)

Optical

- Image : like point source
- spectra : like BLRGs

*Bright non-thermal continuum up to UV : should be the excitation source of the lines

What we have now

Optical line classification

-Seyfert I (broad permitted, narrow forbidden)

-Seyfert II (narrow permitted, narrow forbidden)

Radio Galaxies

-FRI (weak jet)

-FRII (strong jet)

- BLRGs (line spectra like Seyfert I)
- NLRGs (line spectra like Seyfert II)

QSRs (high z, like points in optical, line spectra like BLRGs)

- Extended (steep spectrum)
- Compact (flat spectrum)

Unification of BLRGs and Extended QSRs

BLRGs

- FR II type radio lobes
- BLR observable

Extended QSRs

- FR II type radio lobes
- All QSRs have spectra similar to BLRGs



 \rightarrow Central engine too bright in QSRs such that galaxy is covered.

1970s-1980s some low-z QSRs are found to have fuzzy galaxies around them

Unifying parameter – ratio of quasar to galaxy optical brightness

Unification of extended and compact QSRs by viewing angle

The flat spectrum hard to explain by single electron population WHY? Spectra possibly from a stacking of multiple populations.

Using VLBI...we find

Compact sources contain :

- Core

- Single sided jet (sometimes resolved into components each having different synchrotron spectrum)

→ We are probably looking at small angles! What happens at such cases?



Epoch (years)

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

1. Overview of the unification

2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)

3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)

* Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra

- * Beaming and superluminal motion
- 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)

5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

superluminal motion



The basic idea is just like in the synchrotron case, the emitting source is chasing it's own emission at a very high speed.

 $v_{app} = \frac{v_{\text{jet}} \sin \theta}{1 - \frac{v_{\text{jet}}}{\cos \theta}}$

Difference is that now it's the whole bulk of plasma chasing after the radiated photons.

*Requirements: - Fast

- At small viewing angle

Illustration shows case of apparent velocity being 1.5c!

Relativistic beaming effects

Specific Intensity (Brightness) $I \downarrow \nu \equiv dE/dt dA d\Omega$ dv

$$\delta_{\rm jet} \equiv \gamma_{\rm jet}^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\rm jet}}{c} \cos \theta\right)^{-1}$$

 $\nu \mathcal{T}' = \delta \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{d}\nu \mathcal{T}' = \delta \mathrm{d}\nu$

 $dA = \delta t^2 dA'$

By essence of angle narrowing effect, $d\Omega = \delta t d\Omega'$

 $I \downarrow \nu \equiv dE/dt dA d\Omega d\nu = dE'/dt' \delta t^{2} dA' \delta t^{2} d\Omega' 1/\delta \boxtimes d\nu' = I \downarrow \nu' / \delta t^{3} = I \downarrow \nu' \boxtimes (\nu/\nu') t^{3}$ $\rightarrow I \downarrow \nu / \nu t^{3} = I \downarrow \nu' / \nu' t^{3} = Lorentz Invariant$

Beaming effects on PL spectra

Again, given a power law spectra, $f_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha} \delta_{\text{jet}} \equiv \gamma_{\text{jet}}^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\text{jet}}}{c} \cos \theta\right)^{-1}$

Doppler Shifted spectra $f(\nu t_0) \delta \tau_3$ $f(\nu t_0) \delta \tau_3 f(\nu t_0) \delta \tau_3 10 \tau_{\alpha}$
$ \begin{array}{l} f(\nu \downarrow 0) f(10 \nu \downarrow 0) = f(\nu \downarrow 0) 10 \tau_{\alpha} \\ \text{Original spectra} \\ \nu \downarrow 0 \delta \tau_{\alpha} \end{array} $
νλο 10 νλο δνλο 10δνλο
The apparent spectra will be $f_{ u,app}=f_{ u}\delta_{ m jet}^{3-lpha}$

Jet-counterjet ratio

The apparent spectra will be
$$f_{
u,app}=f_{
u}\,\delta_{
m jet}^{3-lpha}$$

So the ratio of jet-counter jet is
$$R \approx \left(\frac{1 + \frac{v_{jet}}{c} \cos \theta}{1 - \frac{v_{jet}}{c} \cos \theta}\right)^{3-\alpha}$$

Plugging in some numbers, $\gamma \sim 10$, $\beta \sim 0.995$, $\alpha \sim 0$ Then...R is in millions!

It's no wonder the counter-jet vanishes in cases where we see the jet head-on!
Unification of compact and extended QSRs (by viewing angle)

*Resolved missing jet problem!

*interesting fact: FSRQs are probably FR II seen end-on, but tend to be ones somewhat above the FR I-FR II divide

How do we explain?

As will see later in cosmic evolution section, FR I are more uniformly distributed throughout time. So it's much more likely to find a beamed FR I than FR II... <u>but then what does it mean to be at</u> <u>the divide...</u>





Helical jets



Outline

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

1. Overview of the unification

- 2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)
- 3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)
 - * Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra
- * Beaming and superluminal motion
- 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)

5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Blazars

1968

Originally of variable star class, BL Lacertae was found to be consistent with flat spectrum, compact radio source at z=0.069.

*Variations of the jet flow make it appear like a variable star. (optical synchrotron)

*Like QSRs but with very red polarized optical continuum spectrum and weak or no lines. Make them hard to distinguish from red stars.





Blazars in place of unification

*These objects seem to behave like quasars seen head on thus named Blazar (BL Lac +Quasar)

*Because of their orientation, they are 1/10,000 of all active galaxies with jets (which are only 10% of all AGNs)



*Often need to find them in radio or X-ray, but radio or X-ray selected objects seem to be of different class.

- X-ray selected: higher power, lower peak frequency (LBL, FSRQ)
-Radio selected: lower power, higher peak frequency (HBL)

The spectra of Blazars (Synchrotron+SSC)

*Often need to find them in radio or X-ray, but radio or X-ray selected objects seem to be of different class.

- X-ray selected: higher power, lower peak frequency (LBL, FSRQ)
- -Radio selected: lower power, higher peak frequency (HBL)



Synchrotron Self Compton



LBL, HBL, FSRQ evolution sequence?



IDV Blazars-The extreme of beaming? IDV- Intra-day variable

1. Beaming effect

Estimated Brightness Temperature ~ 10718 - 21 K

intrinsic should be < 10712 KGives Lorentz factor of hundreds, way faster $T_{b,app} = \delta_{\text{iet}}^{3-\alpha} T_{b,intr}$

than any other observed jet source.

2. Scintillation(like twinkling stars)

 $\rightarrow \nu \sim 5 - 50$

Estimated Brightness Temperature ~ 10713 - 14 K

IDVs, therefore, may represent the extremes of viewing angle close to the line of sight or, alternatively, the extremes of jet speed in extragalactic radio sources.

Outline

Ch1.

Why do we think they are Black Holes?(1.1-1.2)
 How heavy are they?(1.3)

Ch2.

1. Overview of the unification

2. Early understanding of seyferts (2.1)

3. Radio Galaxies, Quasars and their unification(2.2-2.2.3.2)

* Basics of synchrotron emission and spectra

- * Beaming and superluminal motion
- 4. Blazars(2.2.3.4-2.2.3.5)

5. Cosmic Evolution of RGs and QSRs (2.2.4)

Examining the cosmic evolution

R↓Max

+

You are here

+

Closer to 'present'

R

Further back in time

Even further back in time

Way of estimate whether there are more now or in the past is to take the ratio V/

V \downarrow *Max* Given an even distribution, one would expect (*V* / *V* \downarrow *Max*)~0.5

For example,

assume the observation flux limit is 1Jy, and we have a bunch of 4Jy sources.

Then since the total number scales with volume (given even distribution), the average would be 0.5

Thus, if $(V/V\downarrow Max) > 0.5$, it would mean that there are more of them further to us.

Cosmic evolution radio loud sources

FRI : almost no evolution $\tau > 34$ Gyr

FRII : rapid evolution $\tau \sim 1.7$ Gyr

FSRQs evolve slower than steep spectrum extended sources(possibly due to selection effects, we only see the bottom line of FR IIs)

BL Lac : don't evolve much, much like FRIs

*Understanding by beaming effect FRI have slower evolution thus have higher total probability of having one beamed at us.



Final summary



